IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.2696 of 2008
SUNIL MAHTO
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

6. 9.7.2008 Heard.

The report submitted by the learned trial judge is too cryptic to lay any information before this Court. If the court below was meaning to point out to this Court that the accused persons were not cooperating by remaining present on the date fixed by it for framing of charge then the court below could cancel bail bonds of the accused persons and force their appearance before it.

As regards the present petitioner, the earlier rejection order itself is sufficient to refuse reconsideration of the prayer for bail. The petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL

sudip **(Dharnidhar Jha, J)**